Standardizing the Use of Academic Detailing to Improve Quality

Highlights of Project Findings

May 2014



Thomas J. Van Hoof, MD, EdD

Associate Professor

University of Connecticut Schools of Nursing & Medicine

Acknowledgement & Authors

Acknowledgement:

The Society for Academic Continuing Medical Education provided funding to support this project.

Authors:

Michael A. Fischer, MD, MS, Brigham and Women's Hospital Lisa G. Harrison, MSN, University of Connecticut Nicole E. Miller, BScN(c), University of Connecticut Maryanne S. Pappas, MS, FNP-BC, University of Connecticut Thomas J. Van Hoof, MD, EdD, University of Connecticut James Song-Jeng Yeh, MD, Brigham and Women's Hospital

Methods Overview

 Phase 1: Systematic review of 106 studies of academic detailing to collect information about:

Content discussed during visits

Clinicians being visited

Communication process underlying visits

Change agents making visits

Phase 2: Expert consensus process about review findings

What information or interventions were outreach workers providing through visits? Documentation: 100%

- Clinician education (87%)
- Performance feedback (72%)
- Recommendations about practice change (63%)
- Patient education (31%)
- Other (62%)

What outcomes were outreach workers trying to change as a result of personal visits? Documentation: 100%

- Clinician knowledge or awareness (7%)
- Clinician skill (<1%)
- Clinician behavior or performance (92%)
- Patient outcomes (43%)
- Other (12%)

Did the outreach workers offer the same information to all providers or did they tailor the information in some way? Documentation: 92%

- Information with tailoring (70%)
- Information without tailoring (30%)

How did the study select providers to participate? Documentation: 100%

- Geographical area or organization (99%)
- Specialty (84%)
- Study criteria (52%)
- Patient population (17%)
- Pattern of care (15%)

What was the number, frequency, and duration of visits?

Documentation: 89%, 70%, and 59%

Number of visits

Mean: 2.8

Median: 2

Mode: 1

Range: 1-50

Frequency of visits

> 1 visit: 41%

Mean: 3.5 months

Median: 3 months

Mode: 6 months

Range: Daily- 7 mos.

Duration of visits:

Mean: 90 minutes

Median: 60 minutes

Mode: 60 minutes

Range: 7 minutes – 2 days

Over what period of time (in months) did outreach workers make personal visits? Documentation: 76%

(For the 45% studies that involved more than one visit)

• Mean: 7.4

• Median: 6

• Mode: 6

• Range: 0.5-18.0

With whom did outreach workers meet during in-person visits? Documentation: 98%

- Clinicians (99%)
- Non-clinical staff (20%)
- Others (10%)

How did outreach workers meet with providers during in-person visits? Documentation: 98%

- One-on-one (100%)
- Group (<1%)

Besides visits, in what other ways did outreach workers communicate with providers? Documentation: 41%

- Mail (54%)
- Phone (37%)
- Other (21%)
- Email (7%)

What qualifications did outreach workers have? Documentation: 90%

Physician (40%)

Multiple workers (13%)

Pharmacist (34%)

Team approach (10%)

- Nurse (27%)
- Public health (2%)
- Other (30%)

Did outreach workers have special training for the study specifically?

- Yes (45%)
- No or not documented (55%)

Did the outreach workers have the same employer as the providers? Documentation: 36%

- Yes (21%)
- No (79%)

Thank you! Any questions?

Tom Van Hoof tom.vanhoof@uconn.edu



